OCT 0 5 2005

EAV TO ANICHTECTORY				
FAX TRANSMISSION				
DATE: October 5, 2005				
PTO IDENTIFIER: Application Number 10/810,722-Conf. #9357				
Patent Number				
Inventor: Charles E. Harrison				
MESSAGE TO: US Patent and Trademark Office				
SO I atent and Trademark Omce				
FAX NUMBER: (571) 273-8300				
FROM: CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP				
Myron Keith Wyche				
PHONE: (202) 331-7111				
Attorney Dkt. #: 22241-00001-US				
DACEO (Inst. 1)				
PAGES (Including Cover Sheet): 5				
CONTENTS: Response to Restriction Requirement (3 pages)				
Certificate of Transmission (I page)				
If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by				
collect call to sender at (202) 331-7111 and send the original transmission to us by return mail at the address below.				
return man at the address below.				
This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to				
whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged				
confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are because				
notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this				
transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited.				
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP 1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20036-3425				
Telephone: (202) 331-7111 Facsimile: (202) 293-6229				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 0 5 2005

PTO/SB/97 (08-04)

	Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to	Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031 U. S. Petent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE https://doi.org/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/10/
ı	Application No. (if known): 10/810,722	Attorney Docket No.: 22241-00001-US

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

)
I here State	eby certify that this correspondence s Patent and Trademark Office.	is being facsimile transmitted to the Unit
on _	October 5, 2005 Date	
- <u> </u>	Dina Van	Vun
	Sig	nature
	Dina N.	Van Vuren
	Typed or printed name of	f person signing Certificate
Regi	stration Number, if applicable	202.331.7111 Telephone Number
Note:	Each paper must have its own certificated by the second submitted paper.	ate of transmission, or this certificate must
	Response to Restriction Regulrem	ent (3 nages)

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 0 5 2005

Docket No.: 22241.00001-US

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Charles E. Harrison

Application No.: 10/810,722

Confirmation No.: 9357

Filed: March 29, 2004

Art Unit: 2872

For: BACKING MIRROR

Examiner: Mark A. Robinson

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed September 6, 2005, applicant hereby provisionally elects Group III, Claims 3-7 and 10 for continued examination, with traverse.

The outstanding Office Action has required restriction between:

Group I, Claim 1, drawn to a mirror assembly including mirror, substrate, shaft, holder and support; and

Group II, Claims 2 and 15, drawn to a mirror assembly including specific mirror, substrate, shaft, holder and support;

Group III, Claims 3-7 and 10, drawn to a mirror assembly including mirror, substrate, shaft, holder and specific support;

Application No.: 10/810,722

Attorney Docket No.: 22241-00001-US

Group IV, Claim 8, drawn to a mirror assembly including mirror, specific substrate, shaft, holder and support;

Group V, Claim 9, drawn to a mirror assembly including mirror, substrate, shaft specific holder and support;

Group VI, Claims 11-14, drawn to a mirror assembly including two mirrors, substrate, shaft, holder and support;

Group VII, Claims 16-24, drawn to a method for using a mirror assembly;

Group VIII, Claim 25, drawn to a mirror assembly including two specific mirrors and a holder; and

Group IX, Claim 26, drawn to a mirror assembly including mirror and specific holder.

Applicants make these elections based on the understanding that Applicants are not prejudiced against filing one or more divisional applications that cover the non-elected claims.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement for the following reasons.

MPEP Section 803 states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

In particular, the claims of the present invention would appear to be part of the same technology area. Though the Commissioner may require restriction if two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application (37 CFR 1.142(a)), in the present case, the claimed subject matter may be classified in different subclasses of the same class and Applicants respectfully submit the inventions are not independent. That is, Applicants respectfully submit

Application No.: 10/810,722

Attorney Docket No.: 22241-00001-US

that an examination of one set of claims will require a search in the classes common to the same technology area.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Restriction Requirement be withdrawn. However, if the present Restriction Requirement is not withdrawn, examination on the merits of Claims 3-7 and 10 is believed in order and an early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Applicant believes no fee is due at this time. If a fee is due with this response, please charge Deposit Account No. No. 22-0185, under Order No. 22241-00001-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: October 5, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Myron K. Wyche, Reg. No. 47,341

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-3425

(202) 331-7111

(202) 293-6229 (Fax)

Agent for Applicant